

1
2
3
4 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
5 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
6

7 24 HOUR FITNESS USA, INC.,) Case No. 11-2695 SC
8)
9 Plaintiff,) ORDER TRANSFERRING CASE TO
10 v.) THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF
11) CALIFORNIA
12)
13 NATIONAL UNION FIRE INSURANCE)
14 COMPANY OF PITTSBURGH, PA,)
15)
16 Defendant.)
17)
18)
19)
20)
21)
22)
23)
24)
25)
26)
27)
28)

15 Plaintiff 24 Hour Fitness USA, Inc. ("Plaintiff") brings this
16 action for reimbursement of attorneys' fees and expenses that it
17 allegedly incurred defending a consumer class action suit, Friedman
18 v. 24 Hour Fitness USA, Inc., No. CV 06-6282 (the "Friedman
19 lawsuit"), in the United States District Court in the Central
20 District of California. ECF No. 1 ("Compl.") ¶¶ 1, 7. The Central
21 District preliminarily approved the class settlement in the
22 Friedman lawsuit on March 29, 2010 and entered an order of final
23 approval of the class settlement on July 12, 2010. Id. ¶ 12.
24 Plaintiff alleges that Defendant National Union Fire Insurance
25 Company ("Defendant") is obligated to pay for fees and expenses
26 incurred by Plaintiff in defending against the Friedman lawsuit but
27 has refused to do so. Id. ¶¶ 27, 29.

28 Plaintiff alleges that diversity jurisdiction exists pursuant

1 to 28 U.S.C. § 1332 because the parties are citizens of different
2 states and the amount in controversy exceeds \$75,000. Id. ¶ 4.
3 Plaintiff also claims that venue lies in the Northern District
4 because Defendant resides in this district and the defense
5 expenditures in the Friedman lawsuit were incurred and paid by 24
6 Hour Fitness in this district. Id. ¶ 5.

7 In diversity cases, venue is proper in a judicial district
8 where: (1) "any defendant resides," (2) "a substantial part of the
9 events or omissions giving rise to the claim occurred," or (3) "any
10 defendant is subject to personal jurisdiction at the time the
11 action is commenced." 28 U.S.C. § 1391(a). Under 28 U.S.C.
12 1404(a), a district court may transfer any civil action to any
13 other district where it might have been brought "[f]or the
14 convenience of parties, in the interest of justice." The district
15 court may transfer venue sua sponte. See Muldoon v. Tropitone
16 Furniture Co., 1 F.3d 964, 965-966 (9th Cir. 1993); Bell v. Univ.
17 of Cal. Davis Med. Ctr., No. 11-01590 CW, 2011 U.S. Dist. LEXIS
18 71206, at *1-2 (N.D. Cal. July 1, 2011).

19 A substantial part of the events giving rise to Plaintiff's
20 claims occurred in the Central District, where Plaintiff defended
21 against the Friedman suit. Accordingly, in the interest of
22 justice, this case is transferred to the Central District of
23 California.

24
25 IT IS SO ORDERED.

26
27 Dated: September 27, 2011

28 
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE